arXiv:1202.6459v1 [math.AT] 29 Feb 2012

WEYL GROUP INVARIANTS

MASAKI KAMEKO AND MAMORU MIMURA

Abstract. For any odd prime p, we prove that the induced homomorphism from the mod p cohomology of the classifying space of a compact simply-connected simple connected Lie group to the Weyl group invariants of the mod p cohomology of the classifying space of its maximal torus is an epimorphism except for the case $p = 3$, $G = E_8$.

1. Introduction

Let p be an odd prime. Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Let T be a maximal torus of G. We denote by W the Weyl group $N_G(T)/T$ of G. We write $H^*(X)$ for the mod p cohomology of a space X. Then, the Weyl group W acts on G, T, G/T, BG, BT and their cohomologies through the inner automorphism. The mod p cohomology of BT is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}/p[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. We denote by $H^*(BT)^W$ the ring of invariants of the Weyl group W. Since G is path connected, the action of the Weyl group on BG is homotopically trivial and so the action of the Weyl group on the mod p cohomology $H^*(BG)$ is trivial. Therefore, we have the induced homomorphism

$$\eta^* : H^*(BG) \to H^*(BT)^W.$$

If $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion, the induced homomorphism is an isomorphism. Even if $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has p-torsion, the fact that the induced homomorphism η^* is an epimorphism was proved by Toda in [10] for $(G,p) = (F_4,3)$ and announced in [11] for $(G,p) = (E_6,3)$, respectively. However the results depend on the computation of the Weyl group invariants. The purpose of this paper is not only to show the following theorem but also to give a proof without explicit computation of the Weyl group invariants.

We denote by y_2 a generator of $H^2(BG)$ for $(G,p) = (PU(p),p)$ and by y_4 a generator of $H^4(BG)$ for $(G,p) = (F_4,3)$, $(E_6,3)$, $(E_7,3)$, $(E_8,5)$. Let Q_i be the Milnor operation defined by $Q_0 = \beta$, $Q_1 = \wp^1\beta - \beta\wp^1$, $Q_2 = \wp^p Q_1 - Q_1\wp^p$, $\ldots$, where $\wp^i$ is the i-th Steenrod reduced power operation. Let $e_2 = Q_0Q_1y_2$, $e_3 = Q_1Q_2y_4$ for the above $H^*(BG)$'s. For a graded vector space M, we denote by M^{even}, M^{odd} for graded subspaces of M spanned by even degree elements and odd degree elements, respectively.

Theorem 1.1. *For* $(G,p) = (PU(p),p), (F_4,3), (E_6,3), (E_7,3), (E_8,5)$*, the induced homomorphism* η^* *above is an epimorphism. Moreover, we have*

$$H^*(BT)^W = H^{even}(BG)/(e_k),$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55R40.

The first named author is partially supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in- Aid for Scientific Research (C) 22540102.

where $k = 2$ *for* $(G,p) = (PU(p),p)$ *and* $k = 3$ *for* $(G,p) = (F_4,3),(E_6,3),(E_7,3),(E_8,5)$.

If G is a simply-connected, simple, compact connected Lie group, then G is one of the classical groups $SU(n)$, $Sp(n)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ or one of the exceptional groups G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8. Since $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion except for the cases $(G,p) = (F_4,3)$, $(E_6,3)$, $(E_7,3)$, $(E_8,3)$ and $(E_8,5)$, the above theorem provides a supporting evidence for the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Let G be a simply-connected, simple, compact connected Lie group. Then, the induced homomorphism η^* above is an epimorphism.*

To prove this conjecture, it remains to prove the case $(G,p) = (E_8,3)$. However, the mod 3 cohomology of BE_8 seems to be rather different from the other cases. For instance, the Rothenberg-Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod p cohomology for (G,p)'s in Theorem 1.1 collapses at the E_2-level but the one for the mod 3 cohomology of BE_8 is known not to collapse at the E_2-level and its computation is still an open problem. See [4].

In this paper, for (G,p)'s in Theorem 1.1, we examine the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibre bundle $BT \to BG$ and show that at the level of the spectral sequence, $E_r^{*,0} \neq (E_r^{*,*'})^W$ for some r but we have $E_\infty^{*,0} = (E_\infty^{*,*'})^W$ at the end. In the case $(G,p) = (E_8,3)$, the cohomology of the base space BE_8 is not yet known; since we need the cohomology of the base space in order to examine the Leary-Serre spectral sequence, we do not deal with the case $(G,p) = (E_8,3)$ in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall the Leray-Serre spectral sequence and the action of the Weyl group on it. In §3, we recall the invariant theory of the Weyl group of non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroup of G in order to describe the cohomology of the base space BG. In §4, we recall the cohomology of BG and prove Proposition 4.3. In §5, using Proposition 4.3, we compute the Leray-Serre spectral sequence. As a consequence of the computation of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

The result in this paper is announced in [6], where we deal with the case $(G,p) = (PU(p),p)$ in detail.

2. The Weyl group and the spectral sequence

As in §1, let G be a compact connected Lie group. We consider the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibre bundle

$$G/T \xrightarrow{\iota} BT \xrightarrow{\eta} BG.$$

Since BG is simply connected, the E_2-term is given by

$$H^*(BG) \otimes H^{*'}(G/T).$$

It converges to $gr\, H^*(BT)$. Moreover, the Weyl group acts on this spectral sequence and its action is given by

$$r^*(y \otimes x) = y \otimes r^* x,$$

where r is an element in W. We fix a set of generators $\{r_j\}$ and denote by σ_j the induced homomorphism $1 - r_j^*$. It is clear that

$$H^*(G/T)^W = \bigcap_j \operatorname{Ker} \sigma_j,$$

and $\sigma_j(x \otimes y) = x \otimes \sigma_j(y)$. Moreover, we have

$$(E_r^{*,*'})^W = \bigcap_j \operatorname{Ker} \sigma_j.$$

To relate the Weyl group invariants of $H^*(BT)$ with the one of E_∞-term, that is $gr\, H^*(BT)$, of the spectral sequence, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $f : M \to N$ is a filtration preserving homomorphism of finite dimensional vector spaces with filtration. Denote by $grf : grM \to grN$ the induced homomorphism between associated graded vector spaces. Then, we have*

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} grf \ \geq \dim \operatorname{Ker} f.$$

It is clear that

$$E_\infty^{*,0} = \operatorname{Im} \eta^* : H^*(BG) \to H^*(BT)^W,$$

so that $\dim E_\infty^{*,0} \leq \dim H^*(BT)^W$. By Lemma 2.1 above, we have

$$\sum_{*'} \dim(E_\infty^{*-*',*'})^W \geq \dim H^*(BT)^W.$$

Hence, if we have

$$(E_\infty^{*,*'})^W = E_\infty^{*,0},$$

we obtain

$$\dim H^*(BT)^W \leq \dim E_\infty^{*,0}$$

and the desired result $E_\infty^{*,0} = H^*(BT)^W$.

In [2], Kac mentioned the following theorem and Kitchloo gave the detailed account of it in §5 of [7].

Theorem 2.2 (Kac, Kitchloo)**.** *Let p be an odd prime. Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Let T be a maximal torus of G and W the Weyl group of G. Then, we have $H^*(G/T)^W = H^0(G/T) = \mathbb{Z}/p$.*

Theorem 2.2 is the starting point of this paper. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$(E_2^{*,*'})^W = (H^*(BG) \otimes H^{*'}(G/T))^W = (H^*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p) = E_2^{*,0}.$$

Recall that the cohomology $H^*(G/T)$ has no odd degree generators. So, if $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion, then the E_2-term has no odd degree generators. Hence, it collapses at the E_2-level. Thus, we have that

$$(E_\infty^{*,*'})^W = E_\infty^{*,0} = H^*(BG).$$

Therefore, it is clear that the induced homomorphism $\eta^* : H^*(BG) \to H^*(BT)^W$ is an isomorphism if $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion.

However, for (G, p) in Theorem 1.1, $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has p-torsion and we have odd degree generators in the E_2-level. These odd degree generators do not survive to the E_∞-level. So, the spectral sequence does not collapse at the E_2-level. We deal with the spectral sequence for (G, p) in Theorem 1.1 in §4 and we will see that $(E_r^{*,*'})^W \neq E_r^{*,0}$ for some r but still $(E_\infty^{*,*'})^W = E_\infty^{*,0}$ holds.

We end this section by recalling from [2] the description of the mod p cohomology of G/T for (G, p)'s in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.3 (Kac)**.** *For (G,p) in Theorem 1.1, as an S-module, $H^*(G/T)$ is a free S-module generated by x_m^i $(0 \leq i \leq p-1)$, that is,*

$$H^*(G/T) = S\{x_m^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\},$$

where S is the image of the induced homomorphism $\iota^* : H^*(BT) \to H^*(G/T)$, $m=2$ for $(G,p)=(PU(p),p)$ and $m=2p+2$ for $(G,p)=(F_4,3)$, $(E_6,3)$, $(E_7,3)$ and $(E_8,5)$.

3. Invariant theory

In order to describe the odd degree generators of $H^*(BG)$ for (G,p) in Theorem 1.1, we consider non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroups of G.

Non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroups of a compact connected Lie group G and their Weyl groups are described in [1] not only for (G,p) in Theorem 1.1 but also for $(G,p)=(E_8,3),(PU(p^n),p)$. For (G,p)'s in Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique, up to conjugacy, maximal non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroup A. Their Weyl groups $W(A)=N_G(A)/C_G(A)$ are also determined in [1]. We refer the reader to [1] for the details. From now on, we consider the case (G,p) in Theorem 1.1 only.

We denote by $\xi : A \to G$ the inclusion of A into G and the induced map $BA \to BG$ by the same symbol $\xi : BA \to BG$. Although the Weyl group invariants $H^*(BT)^W$ is not yet known, we determined in [5] the ring of invariants $H^*(BA)^{W(A)}$. It is rather easy to describe it in terms of Dickson-Mui invariants because the Weyl groups $W(A)$ are $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ for $(G,p)=(PU(p),p)$, $SL_3(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ for $(G,p)=(F_4,3)$, $(E_8,5)$ and

$$\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & * & * & * \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ 0 & & g & \\ 0 & & & \end{array}\right)\right\}, \quad \left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} \varepsilon & * & * & * \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ 0 & & g & \\ 0 & & & \end{array}\right)\right\}$$

for $(G,p)=(E_6,3)$, $(E_7,3)$, respectively, where g ranges over $SL_3(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ and ε ranges over $(\mathbb{Z}/3)^\times$.

In order to describe the image of $\xi^* : H^*(BG) \to H^*(BA)^{W(A)}$ for the above (G,p), firstly, we recall from [5] the invariant theory of special linear groups and related groups. Let p be an odd prime and A_n the elementary abelian p-group of rank n. We need the cases $n=2,3,4$, $p=3,5$ only. However, since some arguments seem to be comprehensive when we put them in the general setting, we recall the invariant theory without any restriction on p and n for the time being. We have

$$H^*(BA_n) = \mathbb{Z}/p[t_1,\dots,t_n] \otimes \Lambda(dt_1,\dots,dt_n),$$

where dt_i's are generators of $H^1(BA_n)$, $t_i=\beta dt_i$, and β is the Bockstein homomorphism. Denote by G_n, G_n' subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ consisting of the following matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & g & \\ 0 & & & \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} \alpha & * & \cdots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & g & \\ 0 & & & \end{array}\right),$$

respectively, where g ranges over $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ and α ranges over $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$. We use the letter H to denote one of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p), G_n, G_n'$.

Now, we define some elements in the ring of invariants. Let V_n be the vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/p$ spanned by $t_1,\dots,t_n$ and V_{n-1} the subspace spanned by $t_2,\dots,t_n$.

We denote the element $dt_1 \cdots dt_n$ by u_n and let $e_n = Q_0 \cdots Q_{n-1} u_n$. We also denote $dt_2 \cdots dt_n$ by u_{n-1} and let $e_{n-1} = Q_0 \cdots Q_{n-2} u_{n-1}$. The Dickson invariants $c_{n,i}$'s are defined by

$$\prod_{x \in V_n} (X - x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j c_{n,n-j} X^{p^{n-j}}.$$

We also consider the Dickson invariants $c_{n-1,i}$ given by

$$\prod_{x \in V_{n-1}} (X - x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j c_{n-1,n-1-j} X^{p^{n-1-j}}.$$

We define $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ to be

$$Q_{n-1} - c_{n-1,n-2} Q_{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1,0} Q_0.$$

Let $f_n = \mathcal{O}_{n-1}(dt_1)$. Then, it is clear that we have

$$u_{n-1} = f_n^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{n-1} u_n$$

and

$$e_{n-1} = f_n^{-1} Q_0 \cdots Q_{n-2} \mathcal{O}_{n-1} u_n.$$

For the sake of notational simplicity, we denote $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, $\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$ by Δ_n, Δ_{n-1}, respectively. For a subset $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$, where $0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n-1$, of Δ_n, let

$$Q_I u_n = Q_{i_1} \cdots Q_{i_r} u_n$$

and $Q_\phi u_n = u_n$. In order to deal with $H = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p), G_n, G'_n$ in the same manner, we need the following definition. We define $\overline{Q}_i$ by $\overline{Q}_i = Q_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-2$. Let $\overline{u}_n = u_n$ for $H = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p), G_n$ and $\overline{u}_n = f_n^{p-2} u_n$ for $H = G'_n$. We define $\overline{Q}_{n-1}$ by

$$\overline{Q}_{n-1} = Q_{n-1}, \;\; f_n^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{n-1}, \;\; f_n^{-p+1} \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$$

for $H = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p), G_n, G'_n$, respectively. We define $\overline{Q}_I \overline{u}_n$ to be

$$\overline{Q}_{i_1} \cdots \overline{Q}_{i_r} \overline{u}_n$$

and $\overline{Q}_\emptyset \overline{u}_n = \overline{u}_n$.

We denote the ring of invariants

$$\mathbb{Z}/p[t_1, \ldots, t_n]^H$$

by R. We have

$$\begin{array}{lcll} R & = & \mathbb{Z}/p[c_{n,1}, \ldots, c_{n,n-1}, e_n] & \text{for } H = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p), \\ R & = & \mathbb{Z}/p[c_{n-1,1}, \ldots, c_{n-1,n-2}, e_{n-1}, f_n] & \text{for } H = G_n, \\ R & = & \mathbb{Z}/p[c_{n-1,1}, \ldots, c_{n-1,n-2}, e_{n-1}, f_n^{p-1}] & \text{for } H = G'_n. \end{array}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{array}{lcll} H^*(BA_n)^H & = & R\{1, Q_I u_n\} & \text{for } H = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p), \\ H^*(BA_n)^H & = & R\{1, Q_J u_{n-1}, Q_K u_n\} & \text{for } H = G_n, \\ H^*(BA_n)^H & = & R\{1, Q_J u_{n-1}, f_n^{p-2} Q_K u_n\} & \text{for } H = G'_n, \end{array}$$

where I ranges over all the proper subsets of Δ_n, J ranges over all the proper subsets of Δ_{n-1} and K ranges over all the subsets of Δ_{n-1}. With the above definitions of $\overline{Q}_i$'s and $\overline{u}_n$, we may write

$$H^*(BA_n)^H = R\{1, \overline{Q}_I \overline{u}_n\},$$

where I ranges over all the proper subsets of Δ_n.

Secondly, we consider a subspace F_i of $H^*(BA_n)^H$. Since there holds

$$H^*(BA_n)^{G_n} \subset H^*(BA_n)^{G_n},$$

we introduce a filtration on $H^*(BA_n)^{SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p)}$ and $H^*(BA_n)^{G_n}$. Let $w(\overline{Q}_I\overline{u}_n)$ be the number of elements in I. For monomials in $H^*(BA_n)^{SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p)}$, we define $w(-)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w(e_n) &= n, \\ w(c_{n,j}) &= 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w(xy) = w(x) + w(y),$$

where x, y are monomials in $H^*(BA_n)^{SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p)}$. For monomials in $H^*(BA_n)^{G_n}$, we define $w(-)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w(e_{n-1}) &= n, \\ w(c_{n-1,j}) &= 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-2), \\ w(f_n) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w(xy) = w(x) + w(y),$$

where x, y are monomials in $H^*(BA_n)^{G_n}$. We denote by $F_{j+i,i}$ the subspace spanned by elements x such that $j + i \geq w(x) \geq i$, that is, $F_{j+i,i} = F_i \oplus F_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_{i+j}$. Since

$$H^*(BA_n)^{G'_n} \subset H^*(BA_n)^{G_n},$$

by abuse of notation, we denote

$$H^*(BA_n)^{G'_n} \cap F_i$$

by F_i. It is clear that

$$F_{\infty,i} = \mathbb{Z}/p[e_k] \otimes F_{n-1+i,i},$$

where $k = n$ for $H = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ and $k = n-1$ for $H = G_n, G'_n$. It is also clear that

$$H^*(BA_n)^H = R \oplus F_{\infty,0}.$$

We will see in Theorem 4.2 that $R \oplus F_{\infty,0}$ is the image of ξ^* for $(G,p) = (PU(p), p)$, that $R \oplus F_{\infty,1}$ is the image of ξ^* for $(G,p) = (F_4, 3)$ and $(E_8, 5)$, that $R \oplus F_{\infty,2}$ is the image of ξ^* for $(G,p) = (E_6, 3)$ and that $R \oplus (F_{\infty,2} \cap (\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3 u_4))$ is the image of ξ for $(G,p) = (E_7, 3)$ where $(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3 u_4)$ is the R-submodule generated by $Q_I u_3 = Q_I \overline{\mathcal{O}}_3 u_4$'s.

Next, we consider the multiplication on $R \oplus F_{\infty,i}$. For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}, J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subset \Delta_n$ such that $I \cap J = \emptyset$, we denote the sign of the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & r & r+1 & \cdots & r+s \\ i_1 & \cdots & i_r & j_1 & \cdots & j_s \end{pmatrix}$$

simply by $\operatorname{sgn}(I, J)$. If one of I, J is empty, we set $\operatorname{sgn}(I, J) = 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $I \cup J \neq \Delta_n$, then $Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = 0$. If $I \cup J = \Delta_n$, then*

$$Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = (-1)^{nr+r^2} \operatorname{sgn}(K, I \setminus K) \operatorname{sgn}(I \setminus K, J) e_n Q_K u_n,$$

where $K = I \cap J$ and r is the number of elements in $I \setminus K$.

Proof. If $I \cup J \neq \Delta_n$, then $w(Q_{I\setminus K}u_n) + w(Q_J u_n) < n$. So, it is clear that

$$Q_{I\setminus K}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$Q_K(Q_{I\setminus K}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n) = \mathrm{sgn}(K, I \setminus K) Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n,$$

since $Q_k Q_J = 0$ for $k \in K \subset J$. Hence, we have $Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = 0$ as desired.

Next, we deal with the case $I \cup J = \Delta_n$ and $I \cap J = \emptyset$. There holds

$$Q_{I\setminus\{i_1\}}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = 0,$$

since $w(Q_{I\setminus\{i_1\}}u_n) + w(Q_J u_n) < n$. On the other hand, we have

$$Q_{i_1}(Q_{I\setminus\{i_1\}}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n) = Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n + (-1)^{n+r-1} Q_{I\setminus\{i_1\}}u_n \cdot Q_{i_1} Q_J u_n.$$

Hence, we have

$$Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = (-1)^{n+r} Q_{I\setminus\{i_1\}}u_n \cdot Q_{i_1} Q_J u_n.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n &= (-1)^{n+r}(-1)^{n+r-1} \cdots (-1)^{n+1} u_n \cdot Q_{i_r} \cdots Q_{i_2} Q_{i_1} Q_J u_n \\ &= (-1)^{nr + r(r+1)/2}(-1)^{r(r-1)/2} u_n \cdot Q_I Q_J u_n \\ &= (-1)^{rn + r^2} \mathrm{sgn}(I, J) u_n \cdot e_n, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

Now, we deal with the case $I \cup J = \Delta_n$ and $K = I \cap J$. Then, we have

$$Q_{I\setminus K}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n = (-1)^{rn+r^2} \mathrm{sgn}(I \setminus K, J) e_n u_n.$$

Hence, we have

$$Q_K(Q_{I\setminus K}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n) = (-1)^{rn+r^2} \mathrm{sgn}(I \setminus K, J) e_n Q_K u_n.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$Q_K(Q_{I\setminus K}u_n \cdot Q_J u_n) = \mathrm{sgn}(K, I \setminus K) Q_I u_n \cdot Q_J u_n,$$

since $Q_k Q_J u_n = 0$ for $k \in K \subset J$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.2. For $H = G_n, G'_n$, it is easy to see that we have the same formula

$$\overline{Q}_I \overline{u}_n \cdot \overline{Q}_J \overline{u}_n = (-1)^{nr+r^2} \mathrm{sgn}(K, I \setminus K) \mathrm{sgn}(I \setminus K, J) e_{n-1} \overline{Q}_K \overline{u}_n.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and by Remark 3.2, we see that $F_i \cdot F_j \subset F_{i+j}$. Therefore, $R \oplus F_{\infty,i}$ is closed under the multiplication.

Finally, we end this section by considering the direct sum decomposition

$$R \oplus F_{\infty,i} = N_0 \oplus N_1.$$

We use this decomposition in order to deal with differentials in the spectral sequence in §5. For $0 \le \ell \le n-2$, let $E(\ell)$ be the subspace of $F_{\infty,0}$ spanned by $\{x\overline{Q}_I \overline{u}_n \mid \ell \in I, x \in R\}$ and $\widehat{E}(\ell)$ the subspace of $F_{\infty,0}$ spanned by $\{x\overline{Q}_I \overline{u}_n \mid \ell \notin I, x \in R\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &= R \oplus (F_{\infty,i} \cap E(\ell)) \qquad \text{and} \\ N_1 &= F_{\infty,i} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$z_\ell = Q_0 \cdots \widehat{Q}_\ell \cdots Q_{n-2} \overline{Q}_{n-1} \overline{u}_n.$$

From now on, we assume that $i \leq n-1$, so that $z_\ell \in N_1$. Let $\overline{F}_{j,i} = F_{j,i}/(z_\ell)$ if $z_\ell \in F_{\infty,i}$. Then, it is easy to see that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.3. *There hold the following*:

1. *Suppose that* $i < n$. *If* $n-i$ *is even, then* $F_i^{even} = F_i$. *If not,* $F_i^{even} = \{0\}$.
2. *If* n *is even, then* $F_n^{even} = F_n$. *If not,* $F_n^{even} = \{0\}$.
3. $\overline{F}_{n-1} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell) = \{0\}$.
4. $\overline{F}_n \cap \widehat{E}(\ell) = F_n$.

The Milnor operation Q_ℓ induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to N_1 \xrightarrow{Q_\ell} N_0 \to R/(e_k) \oplus F_i \cap E(\ell) \to 0.$$

The multiplication by z_ℓ induces an isomorphism

$$0 \to N_0 \xrightarrow{z_\ell} N_1 \to \overline{F}_{n-2+i,i} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell) \to 0.$$

We have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4. *For* $(n,i) = (2,0), (3,1), (4,2)$*, there exist short exact sequences*

(1) $0 \to N_0 \xrightarrow{z_\ell} N_1 \to N_1^{even}/(e_k) \to 0,$
(2) $0 \to N_1 \xrightarrow{Q_\ell} N_0 \to N_0^{even}/(e_k) \to 0.$

Proof. From the observation above, it suffices to show that

$$R/(e_k) \oplus F_i \cap E(\ell) = N_1^{even}/(e_k) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\overline{F}_{n-2+i,i} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell) = N_0^{even}/(e_k).$$

Since $(N_0 \oplus N_1)^{even}/(e_k) = R/(e_k) \oplus F_{n-1+i,i}^{even}$, it suffices to show that

$$F_{n-1+i,i}^{even} = F_i \cap E(\ell) \oplus \overline{F}_{n-2+i,i} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell).$$

By Proposition 3.3, we have the following table.

(n,i)	$(2,0)$	$(3,1)$	$(4,2)$
$F_i \cap E(\ell)$	$F_0^{even} \cap E(\ell)$	$F_1^{even} \cap E(\ell)$	$F_2^{even} \cap E(\ell)$
$\overline{F}_i \cap \widehat{E}(\ell)$	$F_0^{even} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell)$	$F_1^{even} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell)$	$F_2^{even} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell)$
$\overline{F}_{i+1} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell)$	–	0	0
$\overline{F}_{i+2} \cap \widehat{E}(\ell)$	–	–	F_4^{even}
F_i^{even}	F_0^{even}	F_1^{even}	F_2^{even}
F_{i+1}^{even}	0	0	0
F_{i+2}^{even}	–	0	F_4^{even}
F_{i+3}^{even}	–	–	0

This table completes the proof. □

4. Cohomology of classifying spaces

Now, we investigate $H^*(BG)$ and $H^*(BA)^{W(A)}$ for (G,p) in Theorem 1.1. Throughout the rest of this section, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
&k=2, \quad n=2, \quad R=\mathbb{Z}/p[e_2, c_{2,1}] && \text{for} \quad (G,p)=(PU(p),p),\\
&k=3, \quad n=3, \quad R=\mathbb{Z}/p[e_3, c_{3,1}, c_{3,2}] && \text{for} \quad (G,p)=(F_4,3),(E_8,5),\\
&k=3, \quad n=4, \quad R=\mathbb{Z}/p[e_3, c_{3,1}, c_{3,2}, f_4] && \text{for} \quad (G,p)=(E_6,3) \text{ and}\\
&k=3, \quad n=4, \quad R=\mathbb{Z}/p[e_3, c_{3,1}, c_{3,2}, {f_4}^2] && \text{for} \quad (G,p)=(E_7,3).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the number n is nothing but the rank of the maximal non-toral elementary p-subgroup A. We recall from [5] the following.

Theorem 4.1. *The ring of invariants* $H^*(BA)^{W(A)}$ *is*

$$R\{1, Q_I u_2\} \quad \textit{for } (G,p)=(PU(p),p),$$

where I *ranges over all the proper subsets of* Δ_2*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
R\{1, Q_I u_3\} &\quad \textit{for } (G,p)=(F_4,3),\ (E_8,5),\\
R\{1, Q_I u_3, Q_J u_4\} &\quad \textit{for } (G,p)=(E_6,3) \textit{ and}\\
R\{1, Q_I u_3, f_4 Q_J u_4\} &\quad \textit{for } (G,p)=(E_7,3),
\end{aligned}$$

where I *ranges over all the proper subsets of* Δ_3 *and* J *ranges over all the subsets of* Δ_3*.*

For $(G,p)=(PU(p),p)$, the induced homomorphism ξ^* defined in the previous section is an epimorphism but it is not an epimorphism for $(G,p)=(F_4,3)$, $(E_6,3)$, $(E_7,3)$ and $(E_8,5)$.

By comparing odd degree generators of $H^*(BG)$ with the image of the induced homomorphism ξ^*, it is easy to see the following theorem. For $H^*(BG)$, we refer the reader to the work of Mimura and Sambe in [8] and [9], or Kameko [3].

Theorem 4.2. *The induced homomorphism*

$$H^{odd}(BG) \to H^{odd}(BA)^{W(A)}$$

is a monomorphism and the image of

$$\xi^* : H^*(BG) \to H^*(BA)^{W(A)}$$

is generated by $Q_0 u_3$*,* $c_{3,1}$ *and* $c_{3,2}$ *for* $(G,p)=(F_4,3)$ *and for* $(E_8,5)$*,* Q_0u_3*,* $c_{3,1}$ *and* $c_{3,2}$*,* $\mathcal{O}_3(dt_1)^2$ *for* $(G,p)=(E_7,3)$*, and* Q_0u_3*,* $c_{3,1}$*,* $c_{3,2}$*,* $Q_0Q_1u_4$*,* $\mathcal{O}_3(dt_1)$ *for* $(G,p)=(E_6,3)$*, as an algebra over the Steenrod algebra.*

We choose elements e_k in $H^*(BG)$ as in Theorem 1.1. We choose elements corresponding to other generators of R in $H^*(BG)$ and we consider R as a subalgebra of $H^*(BG)$.

For $(G,p)=(PU(p),p)$, the rank of the elementary abelian p-subgroup A is 2. Since $F_{1,0}=R/(e_2)\{u_2, Q_0u_2, Q_1u_2\}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0 &= R\{1, y_{2p+1}\},\\
M_1 &= R\{y_3, y_2\},
\end{aligned}$$

where y_2 is the generator of $H^2(BG)$ such that $\xi^*(y_2)=u_2$ and $y_3=Q_0y_2$, $y_{2p+1}=Q_1y_2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^*M_0 &= R\{1, Q_1u_2\} = R\oplus(F_{\infty,0}\cap E(1)),\\
\xi^*M_1 &= R\{Q_0u_2, u_2\} = F_{\infty,0}\cap \widehat{E}(1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $(G,p)=(F_4,3)$ and $(E_8,5)$, the rank of the elementary abelian p-subgroup A is 3. Since $F_{3,1}=R/(e_3)\{Q_0u_3, Q_1u_3, Q_2u_3, Q_0Q_1u_3, Q_0Q_2u_3, Q_1Q_2u_3, e_3u_3\}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned}M_0&=R\{1, y_{2p^2+2}, y_{2p^2+3}, y_{2p^2+2p+1}\},\\ M_1&=R\{y_{2p+3}, y_{2p+3}y_{2p^2+2}, y_4, y_{2p+2}\},\end{aligned}$$

where y_4 is the generator of $H^4(BG)$ such that $\xi^*(y_4)=Q_0u_3$, $y_{2p+2}=\wp^1y_4$, $y_{2p+3}=-Q_1y_4$, $y_{2p^2+2}=\wp^p\wp^1y_4$, $y_{2p^2+3}=-Q_2y_4$, $y_{2p^2+2p+1}=-Q_2y_{2p+2}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^*M_0&=R\{1, Q_2u_3, Q_0Q_2u_3, Q_1Q_2u_3\}=R\oplus(F_{\infty,1}\cap E(2)),\\ \xi^*M_1&=R\{Q_0Q_1u_3, e_3u_3, Q_0u_3, Q_1u_3\}=F_{\infty,1}\cap\widehat{E}(2).\end{aligned}$$

For $(G,p)=(E_6,3)$ and $(E_7,3)$, the rank of the elementary abelian p-subgroup A is 4. First, we consider the case $(G,p)=(E_6,3)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3=f_4^{-1}\mathcal{O}_3$. Since

$$F_{5,2}=R/(e_3)\{\overline{Q}_I\overline{u}_4, e_3\overline{Q}_J\overline{u}_4\},$$

where $w(\overline{Q}_I\overline{u}_4)=2,3$ and $w(\overline{Q}_J\overline{u}_4)=0,1$, we denote by y_4, y_{10} the generators of $H^4(BG)$, $H^{10}(BG)$ such that $\xi^*(y_4)=Q_0\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4=Q_0u_3$, $\xi^*(y_{10})=Q_0Q_1u_4$, respectively. Let $y_9=-Q_1y_4$, $y_8=\wp^1y_4$, $y_{20}=\wp^3\wp^1y_4$ and let $y_{22}=\wp^3y_{10}$, $y_{26}=\wp^1y_{22}$. Let $y_{30}=y_{10}y_{20}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}M_0&=R\{1, y_{22}, y_{26}, y_{20}, Q_2y_{10}, Q_2y_4, Q_2y_8, Q_2y_{30}\},\\ M_1&=R\{y_9, y_9y_{22}, y_9y_{26}, y_9y_{20}, y_{10}, y_4, y_8, y_{30}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^*M_0&=R\{1, Q_iQ_2u_4, Q_2\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, Q_2\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, Q_0Q_1Q_2u_4, Q_iQ_2\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, e_3Q_2u_4\}\\ &=(R\oplus F_{\infty,2})\cap E(2),\\ \xi^*M_1&=R\{Q_0Q_1\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, e_3\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, Q_i\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, Q_0Q_1u_4, e_3u_4, e_3Q_iu_4\}\\ &=F_{\infty,2}\cap\widehat{E}(2),\end{aligned}$$

where i ranges over $\{0,1\}$.

As for $(G,p)=(E_7,3)$, let

$$\begin{aligned}M_0&=R\{1, y_{20}, Q_2y_4, Q_2y_8\},\\ M_1&=R\{y_9, y_9y_{20}, y_4, y_8\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^*M_0&=R\{1, Q_2\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, Q_iQ_2\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4,\}=R\oplus(F_{\infty,2}\cap(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4)\cap E(2)),\\ \xi^*M_1&=R\{Q_0Q_1\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, e_3\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4, Q_i\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4\}=F_{\infty,2}\cap(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3u_4)\cap\widehat{E}(2),\end{aligned}$$

where i ranges over $\{0,1\}$.

One can verify the following proposition by direct computations but it also follows immediately from Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 4.3. *For (G,p) in Theorem* 1.1*, there holds*

(1) $\quad\xi^*M_0\oplus\xi^*M_1=\operatorname{Im}\xi^*$.

Moreover, there exist the following short exact sequences:

(2) $\quad 0\to M_0\xrightarrow{y_{m+1}}M_1\to M_1^{even}/(e_k)\to 0$,

(3) $\quad 0\to M_1\xrightarrow{Q_{k-1}}M_0\to M_0^{even}/(e_k)\to 0$,

where $m=2$, $k=2$ *for* $(G,p)=(PU(p),p)$ *and* $m=2p+2$, $k=3$ *for* $(G,p)=(F_4,3),(E_6,3),(E_7,3),(E_8,5)$.

5. The spectral sequence

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by computing the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with

$$G/T \xrightarrow{\iota} BT \xrightarrow{\eta} BG$$

for (G,p) in Theorem 1.1. We put $m=2$, $k=2$ for $(G,p)=(PU(p),p)$ and $m=2p+2$, $k=3$ for $(G,p)=(F_4,3),(E_6,3),(E_7,3),(E_8,5)$.

The E_2-term of the spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2 = H^*(BG)\otimes H^{*'}(G/T)$$

as an $H^*(BG)\otimes S$-algebra. The algebra generator is $1\otimes x_m$. So, the first nontrivial differential is determined by $d_r(1\otimes x_m)$ for some $r\geq 2$.

Proposition 5.1. *For* $r<m+1$, $d_r=0$. *The first nontrivial differential is* d_{m+1} *and there holds*

$$d_{m+1}(1\otimes x_m)=\alpha(y_{m+1}\otimes 1)$$

for some $\alpha\neq 0\in\mathbb{Z}/p$.

Proof. Suppose that $d_{r_0}(1\otimes x_m)\neq 0$ for some $r_0<m+1$. Then, up to degree $\leq m$, E_{r_0+1}-term is generated by $1\otimes 1$ as an $H^*(BG)\otimes S$-module. So, for $r_1\geq r_0$, $\operatorname{Im} d_{r_1}$ does not contain any element of degree less than or equal to $m+1$. Hence, $y_{m+1}\otimes 1$ survives to the E_∞-term. Then, $\eta^*(y_{m+1})\neq 0$. This contradicts the fact $E_\infty^{odd}=\{0\}$, since $\deg y_{m+1}=m+1$ is odd. Therefore, we have $d_r(1\otimes x_m)=0$ for $r<m+1$.

Next, we verify that $d_{m+1}(1\otimes x_m)=\alpha(y_{m+1}\otimes 1)$ for some $\alpha\neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p$. If $\operatorname{Im} d_{m+1}$ does not contain $y_{m+1}\otimes 1$, then up to degree $\leq m+1$, the spectral sequence collapses at the E_{m+2}-level and $y_{m+1}\otimes 1$ survives to the E_∞-term. As in the above, it is a contradiction. Hence, the proposition holds. □

To consider the next nontrivial differential, first we show the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Both*

(1) *the multiplication by* y_{m+1} *and*
(2) *the multiplication by* e_k

are trivial on $\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*$.

Proof. Suppose that $z\in\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*$. Then, $\xi^*(z\cdot y_{m+1})=0$ and $\deg(z\cdot y_{m+1})$ is odd. Hence, we have $z\cdot y_{m+1}=0$ in $H^*(BG)$.

We also get $Q_{k-1}(z\cdot y_{m+1})=0$. On the other hand, since $\xi^*(Q_{k-1}z)=0$ and since $\deg(Q_{k-1}z)$ is odd, we have $Q_{k-1}z=0$ in $H^*(BG)$. Hence, we get

$$Q_{k-1}(z\cdot y_{m+1})=Q_{k-1}z\cdot y_{m+1}+(-1)^{k-1}z\cdot e_k=(-1)^{k-1}z\cdot e_k=0.$$

So, we obtain $z\cdot e_k=0$. Thus, we have the desired results. □

By choosing suitable elements in $H^*(BG)$ as generators, we may consider a subalgebra of $H^*(BG)$, which maps to R in $H^*(BA)^{W(A)}$. By abuse of notation, we call it R. Then, we may consider

$$E_{m+1}=\cdots=E_2=(M_0\oplus M_1\oplus\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*)\otimes H^*(G/T),$$

as an $R\otimes S$-module. By Propositions 5.1 and 4.3 (2) and Lemma 5.2 (1), we have the E_{m+2}-term:

$$E_{m+2}=(M_1\otimes N_{p-1})\oplus(M_1^{even}/(e_k)\otimes N_{\le p-2})\oplus(M_0\otimes N_0)\oplus(\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*\otimes H^*(G/T)),$$

where $N_{\le i}$ is the S-submodule of $H^*(G/T)$ generated by x_m^k $(k\le i)$ and N_i is the S-submodule generated by a single element x_m^i in $H^*(G/T)$. Observe that the above direct sum decomposition is in the category of $R\otimes S$-modules.

Now, we investigate the action of the Weyl group on the spectral sequence in terms of σ_j. Recall that we define $\{r_j\}$ to be the generators of the Weyl group W and that $\sigma_j=1-r_j^*$ where σ_j acts on the spectral sequence by $\sigma_j(y\otimes x)=y\otimes\sigma_j(x)$ and so it commutes with the differential d_r for $r\ge 2$.

Lemma 5.3. *There holds $\sigma_j(x_m^i)\in N_{\le i-1}$ for all σ_j.*

Proof. Since d_{m+1} commutes with σ_j and since $\sigma_j(y_{m+1}\otimes 1)=0$, we have

$$d_{m+1}(\sigma_j(1\otimes x_m))=0.$$

Suppose that $\sigma_j(x_m)=\beta x_m+s$ for some $\beta\in\mathbb{Z}/p$ and s in S. Then, we have

$$d_{m+1}(\beta(1\otimes x_m)+1\otimes s)=\alpha\beta(y_{m+1}\otimes 1)=0.$$

Therefore, we have $\beta=0$ and $\sigma_j(x_m)\in N_0=S$. In general, we have

$$\sigma_j(xy)=\sigma_j(x)y+x\sigma_j(y)-\sigma_j(x)\sigma_j(y).$$

Hence, we have

$$\sigma_j(x_m^i)=\sigma_j(x_m)x_m^{i-1}+x_m\sigma_j(x_m^{i-1})-\sigma_j(x_m)\sigma_j(x_m^{i-1})\in N_{\le i-1},$$

as desired. □

Remark 5.4. By Lemma 5.3, σ_j acts trivially on $N_i=N_{\le i}/N_{\le i-1}$. Hence, it is easy to see that

$$(E_{m+2}^{*,*'})^W=(M_1^{odd}\oplus e_kM_1^{even})\otimes N_{p-1}\oplus(M_1^{even}/(e_k)\oplus M_0\oplus\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*)\otimes\mathbb{Z}/p\ne E_{m+2}^{*,0}.$$

Now, we begin to compute the next nontrivial differential.

Proposition 5.5. *For $r\ge m+2$ such that $E_r=E_{m+2}$, we have*

$$d_r(M_0\otimes N_0)=d_r(\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*\otimes H^*(G/T))=d_r(M_1^{even}/(e_k)\otimes N_{\le p-2})=\{0\}.$$

Proof. Since $M_0\otimes N_0$ is generated by $M_0\otimes\mathbb{Z}/p$ as an $R\otimes S$-module, $d_r(M_0\otimes N_0)=\{0\}$ holds for $r\ge m+2$. For $M_1^{even}/(e_k)\otimes N_{\le p-2}$, there exist no odd degree generators. Hence, we have $d_r(M_1^{even}/(e_k)\otimes N_{\le p-2})\subset E_{m+2}^{odd}=M_1^{odd}\otimes N_{p-1}\oplus M_0^{odd}\otimes N_0$. On the one hand, the multiplication by $e_k\otimes 1$ is zero on $M_1^{even}/(e_k)\otimes N_{\le p-2}$. On the other hand, the multiplication by $e_k\otimes 1$ is a monomorphism on $M_1^{odd}\otimes N_{p-1}\oplus M_0^{odd}\otimes N_0$. Hence, we have

$$d_r(M_1^{even}/(e_k)\otimes N_{\le p-2})=\{0\}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 5.2, the same holds for $\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*\otimes H^*(G/T)$ and so we obtain

$$d_r(\operatorname{Ker}\xi^*\otimes H^*(G/T))=\{0\}.$$

□

Let $n=m(p-1)$ for the sake of notational simplicity. Next, we show the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6. *If $r\ge m+2$ and if d_r is nontrivial, then $r\ge n+1$.*

Proof. Suppose that we have a nontrivial differential d_r for some $r < n+1$, say,

$$d_r(z \otimes x_m^{p-1}) = z_{i_1} \otimes x'_1 + \cdots + z_{i_\ell} \otimes x'_\ell,$$

where $z \in M_1$, $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_\ell \leq L$, $\{z_1, \ldots, z_L\}$ is a basis for

$$(M_1^{even}/(e_k) \oplus M_0 \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \xi^*)^{\deg z + r},$$

and $x'_1, \ldots, x'_\ell \in H^{n-r+1}(G/T)$, $x'_1, \ldots, x'_\ell \neq 0$. Since $H^*(G/T)^W = \mathbb{Z}/p$, for $x'_1 \neq 0$ in $H^{n-r+1}(G/T)$, there exists σ_j such that $\sigma_j(x'_1) \neq 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\sigma_j d_r(z \otimes x_m^{p-1}) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3, we have $\sigma_j(x_m^{p-1}) \in N_{\leq p-2}$. Hence, by Proposition 5.5 above, we have

$$\sigma_j d_r(z \otimes x_m^{p-1}) \in d_r(M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2}) = \{0\}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, we have $r \geq n+1$. ☐

Finally, we complete the computation of the spectral sequence.

Proposition 5.7. *There holds* $d_{n+1}(M_1 \otimes N_{p-1}) = (M_0^{odd} \oplus e_k M_0^{even}) \otimes N_0$.

Proof. The E_{n+1}-term is equal to

$$M_1 \otimes N_{p-1} \oplus M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2} \oplus M_0 \otimes N_0 \oplus (\operatorname{Ker} \xi^*) \otimes H^*(G/T)$$

and

$$d_{n+1}(M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2} \oplus M_0 \otimes N_0 \oplus (\operatorname{Ker} \xi^*) \otimes H^*(G/T)) = \{0\}.$$

Since $M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2} \oplus M_0 \otimes N_0 \oplus (\operatorname{Ker} \xi^*) \otimes H^*(G/T)$ is generated by the elements of the second degree less than n, that is, the elements in $E_r^{*,*'}$ $(*' < n)$, it is clear that

$$d_r(M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2} \oplus M_0 \otimes N_0 \oplus (\operatorname{Ker} \xi^*) \otimes H^*(G/T)) = \{0\}$$

for all $r \geq n+1$.

On the other hand, since all the elements in $(M_0^{odd} \oplus e_k M_0^{even}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$ do not survive to the E_∞-term and since $d_r(M_0 \otimes N_0) = \{0\}$ for all $r \geq 2$, all the elements in $(M_0^{odd} \oplus e_k M_0^{even}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$ must be hit by nontrivial differentials.

Suppose that there exists an element in $(M_0^{odd} \oplus e_k M_0^{even}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$ which is not hit by d_{n+1}. Let $z \otimes 1$ be such an element with the lowest degree s. Up to degree $< s$, by Proposition 4.3, we see that

$$d_{n+1} : M_1^i \otimes N_{p-1} \to (M_0^{odd} \oplus e_k M_0^{even})^{i+n+1} \otimes N_0$$

is an isomorphism for $i < s$.

Then, $\operatorname{Ker} d_{n+1}$ is equal to $M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2} \oplus M_0 \otimes N_0 \oplus (\operatorname{Ker} \xi^*) \otimes H^*(G/T)$ up to degree s. Therefore, for $r \geq n+2$, $\operatorname{Im} d_r = \{0\}$ up to degree $\leq s$. Hence the element $z \otimes 1$ survives to the E_∞-term. This is a contradiction. So, the proposition holds. ☐

Thus, by Propositions 5.5 and 5.7, we have

$$E_{n+2} = (M_1^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_{\leq p-2}) \oplus (M_0^{even}/(e_k) \otimes N_0) \oplus (\operatorname{Ker} \xi^* \otimes H^*(G/T)).$$

Since there are no odd degree elements in the E_{n+2}-term, the spectral sequence collapses at the E_{n+2}-level and we obtain $E_\infty = E_{n+2}$ and

$$(E_\infty^{*,*'})^W = E_\infty^{*,0} = (M_1^{even}/(e_k) \oplus M_0^{even}/(e_k) \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \xi^*) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] K. Andersen, J. Grodal, J. Møller, and A. Viruel, The classification of p-compact groups for p odd, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 1, 95–210. MR2373153 (2009a:55012)

[2] V. G. Kac, Torsion in cohomology of compact Lie groups and Chow rings of reductive algebraic groups, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 1, 69–79. MR0784529 (86m:57041)

[3] M. Kameko, Cohomology of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku No.1679 (2010), 98–112.

[4] M. Kameko and M. Mimura, On the Rothenberg-Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 3 cohomology of the classifying space of the exceptional Lie group E_8, in *Proceedings of the Nishida Fest* (Kinosaki 2003), 213–226, Geom. Topol. Monogr., **10** Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry. MR2402786 (2009g:55019)

[5] M. Kameko and M. Mimura, Mùi invariants and Milnor operations, in *Proceedings of the School and Conference in Algebraic Topology*, 107–140, Geom. Topol. Monogr., **11** Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry. MR2402803 (2009g:55020)

[6] M. Kameko and M. Mimura, The Weyl group invariants – the case of projective unitary group $PU(p)$ –, Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku, to appear.

[7] N. Kitchloo, On the topology of Kac-Moody groups, arXiv:0810.0851.

[8] M. Mimura and Y. Sambe, On the cohomology mod p of the classifying spaces of the exceptional Lie groups. I, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19** (1979), no. 3, 553–581. MR0553232 (81c:55025)

[9] M. Mimura and Y. Sambe, Collapsing of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence mod 5 of the compact exceptional group E_8, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **21** (1981), no. 2, 203–230. MR0625605 (82j:57040)

[10] H. Toda, Cohomology mod 3 of the classifying space BF_4 of the exceptional group F_4, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 97–115. MR0321086 (47 #9619)

[11] H. Toda, Cohomology of the classifying space of exceptional Lie groups, in *Manifolds-Tokyo* 1973 (Proc. Internat. Conf., Tokyo, 1973), 265–271, Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo. MR0368059 (51 #4301)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHIBAURA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 307 MINUMA-KU FUKASAKU, SAITAMA-CITY 337-8570, JAPAN
E-mail address: `kameko@shibaura-it.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, 3-1-1 TSUSHIMA-NAKA, OKAYAMA, 700-8530, JAPAN
E-mail address: `mimura@math.okayama-u.ac.jp`